Investigating xylem embolism formation, refilling and water storage in tree trunks using frequency domain reflectometry
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Abstract

Trunks of large trees play an important role in whole-plant water balance but technical difficulties have limited most hydraulic research to small stems, leaves, and roots. To investigate the dynamics of water-related processes in tree trunks, such as winter embolism refilling, xylem hydraulic vulnerability, and water storage, volumetric water content (VWC) in the main stem was monitored continuously using frequency domain moisture sensors in adult Betula papyrifera trees from early spring through the beginning of winter. An air injection technique was developed to estimate hydraulic vulnerability of the trunk xylem. Trunk VWC increased in early spring and again in autumn, concurrently with root pressure during both seasons. Diurnal fluctuations and a gradual decrease in trunk VWC through the growing season were observed, which, in combination with VWC increase after significant rainfall events and depletion during periods of high water demand, indicate the importance of stem water storage in both short- and long-term water balance. Comparisons between the trunk air injection results and conventional branch hydraulic vulnerability curves showed no evidence of ‘vulnerability segmentation’ between the main stem and small branches in B. papyrifera. Measurements of VWC following air injection, together with evidence from air injection and xylem dye perfusion, indicate that embolized vessels can be refilled by active root pressure but not in the absence of root pressure. The precise, continuous, and non-destructive measurement of wood water content using frequency domain sensors provides an ideal way to probe many hydraulic processes in large tree trunks that are otherwise difficult to investigate.
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Introduction

The tree trunk, with both long-distance water transport and storage functions, plays an important role in whole-plant water relations (Goldstein et al., 1998; James et al., 2003). However, due to technical difficulties, most studies on tree hydraulic architecture are limited to peripheral organs, such as roots, terminal branches, and leaves, while studies of trunks of adult trees are largely absent. A long-held hypothesis regarding the spatial patterns of plant hydraulics along the whole-plant water transport pathway is known as hydraulic segmentation (Zimmermann, 1978, 1983). According to this paradigm, plants should have structural features that prioritize the water flow continuity in the main axis to the terminal apex. Tyree and Ewers (1991) advanced Zimmermann’s segmentation theory by adding the ‘vulnerability segmentation’ hypothesis. They argued that both higher hydraulic conductivity and stronger resistance to drought-induced cavitation...
might be necessary for a tree to maintain an intact water column in its main stem; however, few studies have examined the cavitation vulnerability of big stems or trunks of large trees. In the present study, an air injection technique was developed to estimate the xylem vulnerability to air seeding in main stems of adult Betula papyrifera Marsh, which is a deciduous tree with diffusely distributed vessels in the xylem.

Besides drought, the freeze–thaw event is another important factor that can induce substantial cavitation in temperate trees during cold seasons (Magnani and Borghetti, 1995; Jaquish and Ewers, 2001; Améglio et al., 2002). When the xylem sap freezes, dissolved gases come out of solution and form bubbles that can nucleate cavitation upon thawing when tensions are re-established (Hammel, 1967; Zimmermann, 1983). According to Laplace’s law, bubbles smaller than a critical size do not expand and re-dissolve easily, while larger bubbles tend to expand under tension (Oertli, 1971; Vogel, 1988; Yang and Tyree, 1992). Therefore, larger-volume xylem conduits are more vulnerable to freeze–thaw induced embolism than smaller-volume ones because they contain more dissolved gas and form larger bubbles during freezing (Ewers, 1985; Sperry and Sullivan, 1992). Embolism development over the winter caused by freeze–thaw cycles can be extensive in branches of temperate trees, in some cases eliminating all hydraulic conductivity by the end of the winter (e.g. Cochard and Tyree, 1990; Sperry and Sullivan, 1992; Wang et al., 1992). Tree trunks with larger xylem conduits compared to those of smaller branches may also develop extensive cavitation over the winter, although this has been documented only in saplings (Sperry et al., 1988).

Cavitation does not pose a serious problem during winter, but may impair growth during the following season if newly produced xylem alone is insufficient to supply water to the crown. In many species, mechanisms have evolved for restoring hydraulic conductivity in the spring by refilling the embolized vessels using positive root pressure (Sperry et al., 1987, 1994; Hacke and Sauter, 1996; Améglio et al., 2002; Cobb et al., 2007). Although root pressure has been well documented in many temperate tree and vine species for winter embolism refilling, the dynamic change of xylem water status during the repair process has not been examined, especially in the main stems of adult trees.

The root pressure and trunk volumetric water content (VWC) were measured during the spring throughout the embolism refilling process in B. papyrifera. To further investigate the dynamics of trunk water status pertaining to whole-plant water balance, VWC was measured continuously through the summer season to the end of the year until freezing occurred in the xylem. The non-destructive and continuous in situ measurements of trunk water status permitted a detailed investigation of a series of important questions regarding plant water relations, such as the role of trunk water storage in short- (diurnal) and long-term (seasonal) water balances, and embolism formation and repair.

Both the trunk xylem hydraulic vulnerability estimation technique and the continuous monitoring of trunk water status used in the present study are based on the novel use of frequency domain reflectometry (FDR) moisture sensors for the in situ non-destructive measurement of wood VWC in functioning trees. Moisture sensors based on the FDR technique have been widely used in estimating soil water content (Czarnomski et al., 2005), but have not been used in wood of living trees. The FDR sensor, with high precision, high temporal resolution, and convenient installation configuration, is a potentially ideal way to measure stem water content in trunks accurately and continuously, providing insight into both short- and long-term hydraulic related physiological processes. Specifically, in the present study the simultaneous and continuous measurements of root pressure and trunk water content in the spring provide information regarding the dynamics of winter cavitation refilling in the main stems of large trees.

Materials and methods

Study site and plant materials

The study was conducted at Harvard Forest (42° 32’ N 72° 11’ W), Petersham, Massachusetts, USA. The study sites are mixed secondary deciduous forest stands, dominated by red oak (Quercus rubra L.) and red maple (Acer rubrum L.), with paper birch (B. papyrifera Marsh.) commonly found. Average annual precipitation at this site is c.1000 mm and is distributed fairly evenly throughout the year. Annual mean temperature is 6.5 °C, with the highest and lowest monthly mean temperatures occurring in July (20 °C) and January (−7 °C), respectively. Adult B. papyrifera trees with diameter at breast height ranging from 14.1 to 26.3 cm were used for the present study.

Volumetric water content

Moisture sensors based on FDR technology (model GS3, Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA) were installed in the trunks of adult B. papyrifera trees at breast height. Three holes of 5.5 cm in depth spaced 2.54 cm apart were drilled radially into the trunk using an electric drill, with a drill bit of 3.26 mm in diameter. A customized drill guide with three holes of the same diameter was used to facilitate the drilling. After inserting the three prongs (5.5 cm in length, 3.26 mm in diameter, and 2.54 cm apart) of the sensor into the drilled holes, the moisture sensors were gently hammered until the prongs were completely within the tree (Supplementary Fig. S1, available at JXB online). The gaps between the sensor overmould and the tree were sealed with silicone caulk to prevent infiltration of water from precipitation. The whole sensor was insulated with foam and aluminium foil to avoid heating by direct sun light. In addition to VWC, trunk temperature was measured by the thermocouples embedded in the sensor overmould. Data were logged using EM50 data loggers (Decagon Devices) every 5 minutes for 24 hours a day. Three sensors were installed in three trees (numbered 1, 2, and 3) in early spring (March) of 2012 and measurements were made continuously until the end of the year. The FDR sensor calibration was conducted in the laboratory using wood of B. papyrifera and the results showed that the sensor VWC output based on the factory calibration matches well with VWC calculated by a gravitational method (Supplementary Fig. S2). The factory calibration was thus used in the present study.

Root pressure

Hydrostatic pressures in trunks of the same three trees were measured using electronic pressure transducers (PX26–015GV, Omega Engineering, Stamford, CT, USA). Two holes of 1.59 mm in diameter and c.2 cm in depth were drilled near the base of a tree trunk and hypodermic needles of the same outer diameter with bent tips (to avoid clogging by wood debris) were inserted to the sapwood and glued in place with epoxy. The pressure transducers were connected to the hypodermic needles through a short piece
of non-elastic water-filled tubing (Bev-A-Line IV, Cole Parmer Instrument, Chicago, IL, USA). The output voltage of the pressure transducers was logged at 5-min intervals with a CR10X data logger (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). Every 2–3 weeks, transducers were moved to freshly drilled holes to avoid gradual clogging of xylem. Measurements of root pressure were made from March to June and from September to November 2012.

The pressure transducers were calibrated in laboratory prior to and after the field measurements and no significant drift was found. Briefly, transducers were connected with a high-precision digital pressure gauge (DPG1000AD) and were connected to a high-pressure nitrogen tank through a regulator. The pressure applied to the transducer was increased in a stepwise manner from 0 to c.138 kPa with an interval of 1 psi (c.6.89 kPa) and the output data were recorded using a CR10X data logger. Linear regressions between voltage outputs and applied pressures were used to calculate the root pressures.

Vulnerability to cavitation in tree trunks and terminal branches

To determine whether the early spring stem water content increase is directly related to the refilling of winter-embolized vessels, an air injection technique was developed to induce embolism in the sapwood where the moisture sensors were located. A high-pressure-resistant PEEK tubing (MicroSolv, Eatontown, NJ, USA) was inserted into a freshly drilled hole in the tree trunk (c.1.59 mm in diameter and c.5 cm in depth) 2 cm above the installed FDR sensor and held in place with a customized tubing holder, which was tied to the trunk using a ratcheting tie-down (Supplementary Fig. S1). The tubing was connected to a digital pressure gauge (DPG1000AD) and a high-pressure nitrogen tank through a regulator. An o-ring was placed around the PEEK tubing between the tree bark and the tubing holder to make a tight seal. The injection pressure was increased in a stepwise manner from 0 to 3.5 MPa at intervals of about 0.3 MPa. The pressure for air injection was kept constant at each level for 15–25 min until VFC (FDR sensor readings were continuously monitored with a computer) reached a steady state. Air injection was conducted on four trees (trees 2 and 3 plus two trees not used for long-term VWC monitoring) in mid-April of 2012 before leaf flush when VWC had increased to a relatively stable level. To test whether embolism can reoccur in the absence of root pressure, injection was also conducted on another four trees (trees 5–7 and another tree not used for long-term VWC monitoring) at a different site in early June. Trees 1 and 4, which were not air-injected, were used as controls for spring and summer measurements, respectively. Continuous measurements of trunk water content were recorded for trees 4–6 (tree 7 was cut down after air injection) from 25 May 2012 to the end of the year.

Conventional hydraulic vulnerability curves were constructed using centrifugal force method on five small stem segments from different trees with diameters ranging from 0.5 to 0.8 cm (Alder et al., 1997). Briefly, vulnerability to drought-induced cavitation was measured as the decrease of hydraulic conductivity (Kh) in response to a stepwise increase in xylem tension generated by spinning a 14.2-cm stem segment using a Sorvall high-speed centrifuge (RC-5C Plus, Du Pont Instruments, Wilmington, DE, USA) with a custom rotor (Alder et al., 1997). The percentage loss of conductivity (PLC) following each spinning was calculated as 100[(Khmax-Kh)/Khmax], where Kh is the hydraulic conductivity after each spinning and Khmax is the maximum conductivity measured on flushed segments.

Dye perfusion

In June, one tree was cut down 0.9 m above the ground after the completion of air injection and a trunk segment c.60 cm (with the injection point in the middle) of the trunk was cut off. The segment was kept under water in a cooler and transported to the laboratory. Using an electric saw, 5 cm was removed from both ends and the remaining segment was connected to a soft polythene tube (modified from a ziplock bag) with a diameter of c.15 cm. Paraflax was used to ensure a tight seal between the tube and the segment. The segment was placed vertically and the reservoir created by the polythene tube on top of the stem segment was filled with 2 l of filtered 0.1% toluidine blue solution. On the second day, after all the staining solution in the reservoir had flowed through the trunk segment, it was cut transversely into two pieces in the middle to evaluate the effect of air injection on xylem hydraulic conductivity.

Wood anatomy

Small pieces of trunk sapwood were taken using a chisel from four trees at breast height and transverse sections of these wood samples were prepared using a sliding microtome (Reichert, Vienna, Austria). Wood cross-sections were also made on small branches with diameters ranging 0.5–0.8 cm. These cross-sections were stained in a 0.1% toluidine blue solution and images were taken at magnification ×40 (trunk wood) or ×100 (branch) using a digital camera (Axioskop HRc, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) mounted on a light microscope (Olympus BH-2, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Vessel diameters were calculated using ImageJ software (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) based on individual lumen areas assuming circular shapes.

Results

Xylem water recharge under root pressure in early spring

With the occurrence of root pressure in mid-March 2012, trunk VWC quickly increased to a substantially higher level, which was on average 0.08 m3 m–3 higher than the initial average value (Fig. 1A, B). Before wood VWC reached a stable level, it exhibited regular diurnal fluctuations with higher values observed at midday, which corresponded very well with the diurnal fluctuations in root pressure. After a maximum VWC level was reached, the diurnal fluctuation became negligible although root pressure continued to show a diurnal pattern of variation (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S3A, B).

The high resolution of the GS3 moisture sensor made it possible to measure subtle changes in wood water contents. However, when the water in the xylem froze, the output of the sensor did not reflect the actual water content (Fig. 1, see also Fig. 3) due to the large difference in dielectric constant between liquid and solid water (80 vs. 3.2 for water and ice at 0 °C), which is the basis for calculating VWC (Kizito et al., 2008). Thus in a few cases, such as on 27 March, the dramatic decrease in VWC readings from the sensor resulted from freezing rather than a change of absolute water content (including ice) in the trunk wood (Fig. 1A). Likewise, the very high readings of pressure transducers during the few days with below freezing temperatures did not imply high root pressure but rather a pressure increase caused by the volume expansion of water during freezing in the tubes connecting the xylem and inside the transducer chambers (Fig. 1B). Aside from these few days when freezing occurred, both VWC and root pressure measurements were very reliable.

Short-term and seasonal variations in trunk water content

After full leaf expansion, diurnal fluctuations in trunk VWC reoccurred but with a different pattern compared to that
found before and during xylem refilling; on sunny days, the maximum VWC occurred at night and the minimum values occurred around midday, which was consistent with the diurnal pattern of evaporative demand (Supplementary Fig. S3C). Significant increases in trunk VWC during days with significant rainfall and gradual decreases thereafter were observed (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5). During a heat wave in late June, VWC of the trunk decreased substantially indicating the use of internal water storage in the trunk to buffer high canopy water demands due to elevated air saturation deficits (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5).

After leaf expansion (around 30 April), root pressure diminished and the overall VWC in the trunk started to decrease gradually until the end of July (Fig. 3A, B and Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5). Trunk VWC started to increase again in the autumn and more noticeably with the resumption of root pressure in October and early November (Fig. 3A, B and Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5). Note that the VWC increase in the autumn occurred over a period of about 1 month and was not as dramatic as that occurred in the early spring, which rapidly reached a maximum level over roughly 2 weeks from the initiation of root pressure (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5).

Cavitation induced by air injection and recovery under root pressure

The injection of air into the tree trunk close to the FDR sensor resulted in a pattern of VWC reduction similar to the decline in hydraulic conductance observed for stems subjected to air injection or centrifugation. When the change of VWC in response to each air injection was expressed relative to the total VWC change observed at the highest applied pressure, the curves are similar to hydraulic vulnerability curves measured on small stem segments of the same species (Fig. 4A). At a pressure of 1.6 MPa, a 50% of the relative VWC decrease was obtained which was not significantly different from the centrifugal force causing 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity.

Fig. 1. Diurnal fluctuations in the trunk of a representative B. papyrifera tree during early spring in (A) volumetric water content (VWC) and root (xylem) pressure, (B) measured in the same trunk. The horizontal line in (A) shows tree trunk temperature of 0 °C.
in small stem segments (1.7 MPa; $P > 0.05$, t-test). The dye perfusion result showed that air injection resulted in a large number of air filled non-conductive vessels in the xylem close to the injection point (Fig. 4B).

In trees that were still generating root pressure (prior to leaf flush), the post-air-injection VWC gradually increased before it decreased again due to leaf expansion (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. S4A, B). Notably, after air injection, obvious diurnal fluctuations in VWC reoccurred (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. S4A, B) in a pattern similar to those naturally occurring in March (Supplementary Fig. S3A), with higher values observed at daytime.

Air injection in early June, after leaves were fully expanded, also resulted in significant decrease in VWC but with a smaller magnitude relative to the injection before leaf flush (0.05 vs. 0.11 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$), which may resulted from an overall lower pre-injection VWC in June than in April. The large variation among trees in the pre-injection VWC (0.50–0.54 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ in the spring and 0.38–0.48 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ in the summer) may be also in part due to differences in sapwood depth and site soil water availability (the spring site located along a brook and the summer site located on a hill slope). These factors may have resulted in large differences in the absolute VWC change among trees during air injection. This problem may be partially solved by using shorter FDR probes in the future. For this reason the VWC change was expressed in a relative term, i.e. decrease in VWC under each pressure relative to the maximum VWC decrease under the highest applied pressure.

In the freely transpiring trees, no significant recovery in VWC was observed (Fig. 5B), indicating a lack of xylem refilling in the absence of root pressure. In the stump of the tree that was cut down after air injection, VWC rapidly increased to a significantly higher level within an hour (Fig. 5B), reflecting the disappearance of xylem tension.

**Xylem anatomy**

Transverse sections showed that in the trunk xylem of *B. papyrifera* about 15% of the whole cross-sectional area is occupied by the lumens of diffusely distributed vessels and most other area is filled with fibres, with no more than 10% of the cross section occupied by thin lines of ray parenchyma (Supplementary Fig. S6). Average vessel diameter in trunk sapwood is much larger than in small branches (95.5 vs. 32.7 μm; $P < 0.001$).
Discussion

Embolism repair under root pressure in early spring

The trunk wood VWC data in this study showed that it took about 2 weeks from the first day of root pressure formation until the VWC reached stable maximum values (Fig. 1A), which is consistent with the timing of hydraulic conductivity re-establishment in other temperate tree species (Sperry et al., 1988; Miller-Rushing and Primack, 2008). The air injection and dye perfusion experiments also indicate that the VWC
change in *B. papyrifera* trunks was related to xylem cavitation. The gradual increase of VWC after air injection in the early spring and a lack of increase in VWC after air injection when leaves were fully expanded further indicate that root pressure plays a critical role in the refilling of winter-embolized vessels in this species. Refilling of winter-embolized vessels requires significant positive pressure because these vessels are filled with CO$_2$- and O$_2$-enriched air (in cavitated vessels, water vapour is rapidly replaced by air), which is not easy to dissolve (Sperry et al., 1987). It has been shown that in early spring when root pressure is prevented from reaching the crown, by overlapping saw cuts, hydraulic conductivity of *Betula* species cannot recover from winter levels (Sperry, 1993). A significant negative correlation between percentage loss of hydraulic conductivity and wood water content has been found in *Betula* species (Strati et al., 2003), which is consistent with the winter embolism refilling in *B. papyrifera* trunks as measured by VWC increase using FDR sensors in the present study.

Unlike in vines, no evidence for gas expulsion through xylem ‘leaks’ has been found in trees (Sperry et al., 1988; Hacke and Sauter, 1996). It seems that the dissolution of gas into xylem sap under positive pressure and the diffusion of gas to the outside surface of the branch are the major mechanisms for winter embolism repair in trees (Yang and Tyree, 1992). The results of this study showed that during the active refilling process in early spring, VWC showed large diurnal fluctuations (Supplementary Fig. S3A), which might be due to the shrinkage and expansion of air bubbles in the xylem in response to root pressure. The diurnal fluctuation in VWC diminished over a period of 2 weeks, which was likely due to the slow reduction in bubble size as root pressure forced gas into solution.

**Trunk xylem vulnerability**

The trunk air injection method is based on the same principles as the conventional air injection method (double-ended pressure sleeve) for determining hydraulic vulnerability in small branches, which measures decrease in hydraulic conductivity of hydrated stems or roots as a function of air

![Fig. 4.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/)
pressure surrounding the xylem (Sperry and Saliendra, 1994). The conventional air injection method estimates the pressure needed to induce cavitation by assuming that positive pressure will push air across the pit membranes when it is equal and opposite to the tension that would pull air across the pits in functioning xylem. This idea, which stems from the ‘air seeding’ hypothesis (Zimmermann, 1983), is strongly supported by the close correspondence between vulnerability curves obtained by air injection versus dehydration methods (Sperry et al., 1996). Similarly to branches measured with a double-ended pressure sleeve, the air injection of the trunk resulted in sigmoid curves when wood VWC was plotted against air pressure (Fig. 4A).

During the air injection treatment, the high-pressure gas propagates both radially and axially and the pressure builds up in the xylem around the injection point, which was larger than the volume of wood that the FDR moisture probe senses as evidenced by the dye perfusion results (Fig. 4B). The results suggest that the decrease of VWC in B. papyrifera during air injection was mainly due to water being forced out of the vessels other than the surrounding tissue, which is dominantly fibre matrix (Supplementary Fig. S6). Although the fibre lumens can contain a considerable amount of water, they may not be well connected to the vessels hydraulically, which otherwise would allow the stored water easily be pulled away by the transpiration stream undermining their water storage function (Holbrook, 1995). Under a pressure higher than that needed for ‘air-seeding’, water contained in the affected vessels can easily be pushed axially to the neighbouring vessels up or down stream of the injection point through pits, while the much shorter length of the fibre cells relative to the vessels may have resulted in the minor contribution of water loss in fibre cells during injection. If the discharge of fibre capillary storage had contributed to the trunk VWC decrease during

---

**Fig. 5.** (A) Decrease of trunk volumetric water content (VWC) due to air injection and its gradual recovery under root pressure in early spring in a representative tree. (B) VWC following air injection in early June in the absence of root pressure, showing no significant recovery of VWC in transpiring trees (thin lines, VWC; bars, daily sums of precipitation. Two sensors were installed in tree 7; one at c.70 cm above the ground (dark line shown as ‘tree 7 stump’) and another at breast height, which was removed when the tree was cut down after air injection (grey line shown as ‘tree 7’).
air injection, a large VWC drop would have been observed at a low pressure range, 0–0.6 MPa, which is the theoretical functioning range for fibre water storage (Tyree and Yang, 1990). Together with the dye perfusion results and the agreement between the observed maximum VWC drop during air injection (on average 0.11 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ for the March injection) and the calculated volume fraction of vessel lumen inside the sapwood (15%), it is reasonable to conclude that the measured VWC change during air injection was mainly due to embolism formation in the xylem. The resulting curves from this method can therefore be used as a surrogate for trunk xylem hydraulic ‘vulnerability curves’.

Correspondence in $P_{50}$ (the pressure at which there is a 50% loss of conductance or reduction in relative VWC change) between small branch hydraulic vulnerability curves and the trunk air injection curves suggests that trunk and branch xylem are equally vulnerable to drought-induced cavitation (Fig. 4A), although the vessel diameter in trunks is much greater than that of small branches. This suggests, at least for B. papyrifera, the absence of vulnerability segmentation between the tree trunk and terminal branches. Contradicting results have been found in different species in comparing hydraulic vulnerability of branches of different diameters (Cochard, 1992; Tyree et al., 1993; Sperry and Salindra, 1994; Sperry and Ikeda, 1997; Choat et al., 2005), which indicates that vulnerability segmentation is not a general phenomenon. The ambiguous relationship between conduit size and vulnerability to drought-induced cavitation is consistent with the lack of a necessary causal link between them. Rather, cavitation appears to be structurally correlated with inter-conduit pit membranes that determine the critical pressure or tension for air seeding (Sperry et al., 1996).

The lack of apparent vulnerability segmentation, however, does not necessarily imply that the trunk and peripheral xylem are equally susceptible to drought-induced cavitation in these trees. It has been found that a larger fraction of whole-xylem hydraulic resistance resides in the branches than in the trunk (Edwards et al., 1986; Tyree, 1988; Tyree and Sperry, 1988; Tyree et al., 1991; Yang and Tyree, 1994), which results in much steeper water potential gradients in the small terminal branches than in big stems or the trunk of a transpiring tree. Higher water potential in big stems or the trunk itself is likely to confer a lower risk of cavitation compared to that in small terminal branches. It has also been found that leaves are more vulnerable to drought-induced hydraulic failure than stems (Hao et al., 2008), which suggest that vulnerability segmentation may mainly occur between terminal branches and leaves rather than between stems of different sizes (Choat et al., 2005).

**Xylem water storage and plant water balance**

It has long been known that trees can store water in their xylem tissues with a seasonal and diurnal rhythm of storage and depletion (Landsberg et al., 1976; Roberts, 1976; Waring et al., 1979). Although sap flow and stem dendrometer techniques have been used to estimate the contribution of trunk water storage to daily transpiration (e.g. Goldstein et al., 1998; Scholz et al., 2008), no previous study has provided such a high temporal resolution and continuous measurements nondestructively in functioning trees over a relatively long period. By using the FDR moisture sensor, critical methodological problems inherent in measuring diurnal and seasonal changes of wood water content by sampling with increment coring equipment were avoided (Waring and Running, 1978; Waring et al., 1979). Whenever wood fibres and conduits are cut open, the water contained in them is held only by the capillary forces generated by the radius of curvature of the air-water interfaces of the severed cells and thus can be pulled away by tension in the neighbouring functional xylem. The FDR probe installation used in the present study does only minor damage to the xylem, relative to a much larger volume of untouched wood tissues that the probe senses (volume of influence is 0.3 l), and thus provides a more accurate measurement of diurnal and seasonal changes of xylem tissue water content.

The diurnal fluctuations in trunk VWC indicate the importance of internal water storage to daily water balance in B. papyrifera trees. Withdrawal of water from internal storage compartments can account for 10–50% daily water use in trees depending on species, ecosystem type, and tree size (Goldstein et al., 1998; Meinzer et al., 2004; Scholz et al., 2007, 2011). The use of internal stored water close to the site of transpiration reduces the apparent hydraulic resistance along the plant water transport pathway and can therefore buffer temporal changes in leaf water status, which in turn can reduce the extent of stomata limitation to photosynthesis (Meinzer, 2002). Water storage as a homeostatic mechanism may be especially important in tall trees as hydraulic resistance increases with tree height (Goldstein et al., 1998; Phillips et al., 2003). Furthermore, daily water withdrawal from storage at times of peak transpiration with later recharge allows roots to take up water at intermediate rates over a longer period and thus plants can meet their water needs with less roots than would otherwise be necessary (Tyree and Yang, 1990).

The VWC changed over longer time periods, such as recharge after significant rainfalls and gradual decline thereafter and the dramatic decrease that occurred during a summer heat wave (Fig. 2), which indicates the importance of water storage to plant water balance in longer terms. More interestingly, the water content of the trunks had an overall gradual decrease from leaf flush through the end of the growing season, which may largely be due to changes in fibre capillary water storage since parenchyma ray cells only occupy a very small portion of the xylem cross-section in B. papyrifera. The intercellular spaces inside fibres, fibre tracheids, or tracheids can provide considerable water storage capacitance in trees in the form of capillary storage (Zimmermann, 1983; Tyree and Yang, 1990). Partially air-filled fibres in the xylem of hardwood trees are ideal for water storage, because their slender tips can hold a considerable amount of water. Because capillary tension is inversely related to diameter, the volume of water trapped inside fibres is negatively related to xylem tension; as the tension increases, the menisci of water bubbles inside the fibre lumens are pulled toward the narrower tips.
where larger capillary forces re-establish balance with tension. When water is available and xylem tension decreases, the capillary tension in the fibre causes air bubbles to shrink and more water can be stored in the fibres.

Water storage by capillarity only provides an appreciable amount of water over a relatively narrow range of water potentials, between 0 and ~0.6 MPa (Tyree and Yang, 1990). Water potential measured on *Betula occidentalis* grown in Utah using stem psychrometers showed that midday trunk water potential in August was about ~0.6 MPa (Sperry and Pockman, 1993). In the more humid environment of the current study site, one can safely predict that the *B. papyrifera* trunk water potential rarely departs from the range of 0 to ~0.6 MPa, which is not low enough to induce significant wood water loss due to vessel cavitation according the vulnerability curves (Fig. 4A) but matches the water potential range in which capillary water storage functions. The slower VWC increase under root pressure in the autumn relative to that happened in the spring also suggest that the seasonal water content decrease in the trunk xylem was not due to cavitation but likely due to the depletion of stored water in fibres, which might be more difficult to refill.

In conclusion, FDR moisture sensors have the potential to detect important processes related to the short- and long-term dynamics of plant water balance in tree trunks. The trunk air injection technique developed based on the FDR measurement of water content seems to be a reliable technique for estimating xylem vulnerability in tree trunks or large stems. Trees subjected to air injection in the early spring in the presence of root pressure partly recovered VWC implying vessel refilling, while those trees air injected in the absence of root pressure showed no recovery of VWC. The contrasting post-air-injection responses of xylem VWC in trees with and without root pressure indicate the essential role of root pressure in embolism refilling. Comparison of the vulnerability curves derived from the trunk air injection and conventional branch hydraulic vulnerability curves found no evidence of vulnerability segmentation between the trunk and small branches in *B. papyrifera*.

**Supplementary material**

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Supplementary Fig. S1. The apparatus used for the trunk air injection experiment.

Supplementary Fig. S2. Calibration of the FDR sensor.

Supplementary Fig. S3. Patterns of diurnal change in trunk volumetric water content measured at different times during the year.

Supplementary Fig. S4. Seasonal change of volumetric water content in trunk xylem of trees 2 and 3 and daily sums of precipitation.

Supplementary Fig. S5. Seasonal change of volumetric water content (VWC) in trunk xylem of trees 4, 5, and 6 and daily sums of precipitation.

Supplementary Fig. S6. A transverse section of *B. papyrifera* trunk xylem.
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Supplementary Figure S1. The apparatus used for the trunk air injection showing the FDR moisture sensor and a customized block designed to hold the PEEK tubing for high-pressure gas delivery. The DBH of the tree is 18.7 cm.
Supplementary Figure S2. (A) FDR sensor installed in a *Betula papyrifera* wood block (ca. 10 cm × 8 cm × 3.5 cm) used for calibration in the laboratory; (B) volumetric water content (VWC) measured by FDR sensors plotted against VWC data calculated gravimetrically (data from two sets of independent calibrations were pooled). The dashed line show $y = x$; (C) tree core VWC measured gravimetrically vs. VWC measured using FDR sensors *in situ* on April 13, 2012. Error bars show +1 SE ($n = 5$).

**Method for the calibration**

Two *B. papyrifera* trees were felled (one in March and one in June 2012) and segments of the trunks were transported to the laboratory while kept under water. A block of sapwood with the above-mentioned dimensions was cut from the segment using an electric saw and three holes for the sensor prongs were drilled using an electric drill. A drill guide described in the article was used to facilitate the drilling. The wood block was then submerged in deionized water under vacuum overnight for hydration. The fresh weight of the block was then measured to the nearest 0.1 g using a Sartorius balance (model 3808 MP8/8-1, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) and the volume was determined using water displacement method. The FDR sensor, with weight pre-determined, was then installed in the wood block and the VWC data were recorded using the EM50 data logger. The wood block was then allowed to dry on a lab bench for several hours (depending on the observed weight loss) and then wrapped completely using parafilm and placed in a ziplock bag overnight for equilibration before weight and VWC (by FDR sensor) were measured again. This process was repeated and five sets of weight and VWC measurements were obtained for each wood block. The wood block was then oven dried at 60 °C for one week before dry weight was measured. The VWC was calculated as (fresh weigh – dry weight)/fresh volume. Increment tree cores were taken from five trees (different from the trees used for long-term monitoring) in early spring and VWC was calculated gravimetrically to compare with those measured by the FDR sensors. The deviation (ca. 0.04 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$) between gravimetric and FDR measurements (panel B) may have resulted from a slightly smaller wood block volume relative to the volume of influence by the FDR sensor. The *in situ* FDR VWC measurements in tree trunks did not differ significantly from that measured gravimetrically on tree cores (panel C).
**Supplementary Figure S3.** Simultaneous measurements of trunk volume water content (VWC) and root pressure (RP) in a representative tree in early spring showing: (A) the synchronized diurnal fluctuation of VWC with RP and overall increase of VWC; (B) a lack of significant diurnal change in VWC after it reached the maximum level irrespective of the diurnal root pressure fluctuation; (C) fluctuation of VWC after leaf expansion in the absence of root pressure. Dark bars denote nighttime periods. Note that higher VWC (in A) and RP (in A and B) occurred during daytime that peeked around midday but in panel C decreases in VWC were observed during the daytime due to transpiration.
Supplementary Figure S4. Seasonal change of volumetric water content (VWC) in trunk xylem of tree 2 (A) and tree 3 (B); (C) daily sums of precipitation. Arrows in panels A and B show air injection in early spring. Vertical dashed lines show days with significant rainfall, during which substantial increases in trunk xylem VWC were observed in most cases as shown in Fig. 2A. The vertical gray bar in panels A and B denotes a substantial decrease in VWC during a summer heat wave shown in Fig. 2B.
Supplementary Figure S5. Seasonal change of volumetric water content (VWC) in trunk xylem of tree 4 (A), tree 5 (B) and tree 6 (C); (D) daily sums of precipitation. Arrows in panels B and C show air injection in the summer. Vertical dashed lines show days with significant rainfall, during which substantial increases in trunk xylem VWC were observed in most cases as shown in Fig. 2A. The vertical gray bar in panels A-C denotes substantial decrease in VWC during a summer heat wave shown in Fig. 2B.
Supplementary Figure S6. A cross-section of *B. papyrifera* trunk xylem showing the diffusely distributed vessels within densely arranged fibers and thin lines of ray parenchyma cells.